

Application No.: 10/776,619

Response dated: December 28, 2005

Office Action dated: September 28, 2005

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Previously Presented) A computerized recall management system, comprising:
 - an early warning system, responsive to product performance data, to detect a pattern of product defects therefrom and generate an alert,
 - a recall operations system, storing data representing return, repair and service procedures to be followed to process a recall of defective products,
 - a recall repository to store data representing performance of the recall, and
 - a notification system, storing a report template representing recall reporting requirements, to generate a report from data of the recall repository according to parameters defined in the report template.
2. (Currently Amended) The recall management system of claim 1, further comprising a cockpit application to [[a]] manage communication with external entities in a manner specific to a classification applied to each entity with which it communicates.
3. (Original) The recall management system of claim 2, wherein external entities are classified into one of the following groups: customers, media, partners and regulators.
4. (Original) The recall management system of claim 1, wherein the early warning system is further to perform product distribution modeling to determine an extent to which defect products have been distributed.

Application No.: 10/776,619

Response dated: December 28, 2005

Office Action dated: September 28, 2005

5. (Original) The recall management system of claim 1, wherein the notification system comprises a plurality of reporting templates, each one unique to a predetermined audience classification.

6. (Original) The recall management system of claim 5, wherein audience classifications comprise customers, media, partners and regulators.

7. (Previously Presented) A method of computer aided detection of product defects, comprising:

responsive to product performance data, comparing with a computer the performance data to performance benchmarks,

when the comparison identifies an instance of product performance that fails a benchmark, determining whether the instance relates to a previously undetected product defect, if so, generating an alert.

8. (Original) The method of claim 7, further comprising, if the instance relates to a previously detected product defect, determining whether the instance indicates that the defect is occurring within the product at a rate that exceeds statistical limits established for the defect and, if so, generating an alert.

9. (Original) The method of claim 7, further comprising performing diffusion modeling for the product to determine an extent to which defective products have proliferated in a distribution

Application No.: 10/776,619
Response dated: December 28, 2005
Office Action dated: September 28, 2005

chain for the product.

10. (Previously Presented) A recall notification method, comprising:

establishing a session between an automated notification agent of a product producer and a terminal,

classifying the terminal as one of a predetermined number of audience member types, and regulating the terminal's access to recall repository data based upon the terminal's classified audience member type.

11. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the audience member types comprise customers, media, partners and regulators.

12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, further comprising generating a recall notification report for a member of at least one audience member type, the report structured according to a report template that is specific to the respective audience member type.

13. (Original) The method of claim 10, further comprising generating a recall notification report to a member of at least one audience member type at a time determined by a milestone template that is specific to the respective audience member type.

14. (Original) The method of claim 10, further comprising generating a recall notification report to a member of at least one audience member type, the member being identified from a

Application No.: 10/776,619
Response dated: December 28, 2005
Office Action dated: September 28, 2005

contacts management data structure of the notification agent.

15. (Previously Presented) A recall operations system comprising:

a recall protocol template storing definitions of recall procedures to be used with respect to an instance of a product recall,

a recall repository, and

a recall management agent operated by a computer, responsive to the recall protocol template, to:

authenticate individual participants of the recall,

classify the individual participants as one of a predetermined number of audience

member types, and

transfer to authenticated participants, recall tracking information and recall notification information based on audience member type, and

record authenticated participants' receipt of the recall notification information [[]] in the recall repository.

16. (Original) The system of claim 15, wherein the recall management agent further:

communicates to service technicians an authorization specifying remediation to be performed upon a defective product, and

processes compensation for the service technicians upon receipt of a confirmation that the remediation has been performed.

Application No.: 10/776,619

Response dated: December 28, 2005

Office Action dated: September 28, 2005

17. (Original) Computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon that, when executed by a processing device, causes the device to:

responsive to product performance data, compare the performance data to performance benchmarks,

when the comparison identifies an instance of product performance that fails a benchmark, determine whether the instance relates to a previously undetected product defect, and if so, generate an alert.

18. (Original) The medium of claim 17, wherein, if the instance relates to a previously detected product defect, the instructions further cause the device to determine whether the instance indicates that the defect is occurring within the product at a rate that exceeds statistical limits established for the defect and, if so, generating an alert.

19. (Original) The medium of claim 17, wherein the instructions further cause the device to perform diffusion modeling for the product to determine an extent to which defective products have proliferated in a distribution chain for the product.